## **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

## **GUIDED WAVE RADAR LEVEL TRANSMITTER**

the specification of which:

√ is attached hereto.	was filed on					
	as Application Ser	ial No.				
	and was amended		- <del></del>			
		(if applicable)				
ing the claims, as amend to be the original and fi hereby acknowledge the (reprinted on the back)	hat I have reviewed and ded by any amendment so rst inventor(s) of the subset duty to disclose inform of Title 37 of the Code state that no patent app	pecifically referred to oject matter which is mation which is mate of Federal Regulations	above, and that I claimed and for rial to patentabil 3.	believe the nar which a patent ity in accordan	ned inventor(s) is sought, and ce with §1.56	
	tates of America, except		antion have prev	lously been me	d in countries	
COUNTRY	APPLICATIO	D	ATE FILED month, year)		AIMED UNDER S.C. 119	
				yes	no	
				yes	no	
				yes	no	
				yes	no	
				yes	no	
below and, insofar as the States application in the the duty to disclose made between the filing date (Application Serial N		h of the claims of this first paragraph of Titl ined in Title 37, Code and the national or PC Filing Date)	application is no e 35, United Stat of Federal Regul CT international f	ot disclosed in the control of the c	he prior United I acknowledge which occurred is application: ng, abandoned)	
(Application Serial N	o.) (	(Filing Date)		(Status: patented, pending, abandoned)		

I hereby appoint Jeffrey L. Clark (Reg. 29,141), Jeffrey N. Fairchild (Reg. 37,825), Stephen D. Geimer (Reg. 28,846), Allen H. Hoover (Reg. 24,103), Martin L. Katz (Reg. 25,011), John J. King (Reg. 35,918), F. William McLaughlin (Reg. 32,273), Dean A. Monco (Reg. 30,091), John S. Mortimer (Reg. 30,407), Lisa V. Mueller (Reg. 38,978), Paul M. Odell (Reg. 28,332), Richard S. Phillips (Reg. No. 17,314), and Joel E. Siegel (Reg. 25,440), each registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office and practicing as the firm of WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER, 500 WEST MADISON STREET, SUITE 3800, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60661 (Telephone 312-876-1800), my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application, to make alterations or amendments therein, to receive the patent and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and direct that all correspondence be addressed the firm. All telephone inquiries may be directed to:

## F. William McLaughlin

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1)	Full Name of sole or	
	first Joint Inventor: Kevin M. Haynes	Citizenship:USA
	Inventor's Signature:	Date: 7/30/2003
	Residence: Lombard, Illinois	
	Post Office Address: c/o 5300 Belmont Road, Downers Grove	e, Illinois 60515

## §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any exists claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
    - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or

prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph(b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.